

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_129186
Title of the Manuscript:	Alterations In Full Blood Count Parameters And Some Inflammatory Biomarkers Of Occupationally Exposed Metal Recyclers
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article entitled: ALTERATIONS IN FULL BLOOD COUNT PARAMETERS AND SOME INFLAMMATORY BIOMARKERS OF OCCUPATIONALLY EXPOSED METAL RECYCLERS was well written and findings support the topic. The article preparation and structure are good, and they can be publishable in this journal. The manuscript has a clear layout, and the research findings fit the study objectives. Even though the author used clear English, there is enough scope to improvise sentence structures. Minor editing on references, tables, and English could improve the article	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ALTERATIONS IN FULL BLOOD COUNT PARAMETERS AND SOME INFLAMMATORY BIOMARKERS OF OCCUPATIONALLY EXPOSED METAL RECYCLERS: A CASE CONTROL STUDY	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract seems highly well. Methods, results, and conclusions are correlated to the study and understandable.</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>Literature was clearly stated for publication, but some recent references would be good. Its importance should be a vital part of the introduction. Methods are comprehensive, and I do believe they cover the idea. The use of "Few" in the methodology is a little improper.</p> <p>The results could cover the objectives with the descriptive study, which could've done the regression analysis. But I think this could be enough for this report. However, the writing needs to be revised for more concise and precise. I would suggest one thing to consider. The author can make one pictorial presentation of the constructed tables.</p> <p>Discussion: Excellently written already, add some new references, particularly previous 2/3 years. This part needs to be revised mainly keep in mind that results should not be repeated.</p> <p>The language of the whole manuscript should be revised from native speaker</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>add some new references, particularly previous 2/3 years</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language of the whole manuscript should be revised from native speaker</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Shakeeb Ullah</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Gomal University, Pakistan</p>