

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research.</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_129114</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Enhancing Productivity in Rice through Field Demonstrations under semi dry Condition in Ramanathapuram District, Tamil Nadu, India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Agriculture-Agricultural Extension</b>

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is an attempt by the Agricultural extension scientist to popularize a drought tolerant rice variety for the benefit of farming community through FLDs. This work however is only of one year and needs to be replicated for one more year to get consistent result for more validity of the recommendation from this paper. Moreover, observations on growth parameters and important yield attributes are lacking. Only yield and economics were presented.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Improving productivity of semi dry rice through Field Demonstrations in Ramanathapuram District, Tamil Nadu, India	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Appropriate and all the grammer mistakes suggested in the text.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is a study related to a particular area in Tamilnadu and the outcome will benefit the farmers of that specific area. Scientifically this paper is very routine and has less significance other than Tamil Nadu.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent references were given and no additions needed.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very poor as many corrections were made in the text.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Need to improve the quality by inclusion of additional observations and its less reliable as it is tested for only one year. Statistical analysis needs to be checked for its very low CV values.</b>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
	Can be accepted after carrying the above suggestions.	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>  No	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>K.V. Ramana Murthy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, India</b>