

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128880
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SHELF LIFE OF CHICKEN MALAI KEBAB AT REFRIGERATED STORAGE OF BELOW 40C TEMPERATURE
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study highlights the effectiveness of marination with a malai spice mix in extending the shelf life of chicken breast from 4 to 6 days under chilled storage. The findings are significant for the scientific community as they demonstrate the potential of natural spice-based marinades to enhance meat preservation, reduce microbial spoilage, and improve food safety	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an	SHELF-LIFE EVALUATION OF CHICKEN MARRINATED WITH MALAISPICE MIX UNDER REFRIGERATED STORAGE (>4°C)	

Review Form 3

alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References must be added for each parameter in the discussion section, and it's preferable if they are current.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kebab: Only after applying heat treatment can, we refer to it as a kebab. 2. 2.3 numbering is missing in chronological order of titles and subtitles 3. Although the Bhardwaj author was mentioned in the reference section, the article's body did not address it. 	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naveen Kumar T J
Department, University & Country	India