

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_128712
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges and Opportunities for Local Donors in Scaling Rural Water Supply Solutions in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article discusses the most important resource in human existence, water. However, it does not discuss water from a scientific perspective as it focuses mostly on the corporate and administrative aspects. Nonetheless, water, along with issues of sustainability are important topics for the scientific community. Therefore, to some degree, the article may be considered to be important for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable for the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should start with the problem statement, followed by the main objective, methodology, data results, and lastly recommendations. For example; Donor backed rural water supply sector is crucial for improving public health and enhancing livelihoods. However, despite various donor interventions, scaling up these solutions remains a complex task due to factors. This paper explores the challenges and opportunities local donors face in scaling rural water supply solutions in Tanzania. Theoretical frameworks such as Sustainable Development Theory, Institutional Theory, Community-Based Resource Management (CBRM), Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) offer valuable insights into the practical and conceptual challenges that local donors encounter. The paper/study finds that financial constraints, political influences, institutional weaknesses, and technological challenges hinder the scaling of rural water supply solutions in Tanzania. Drawing on empirical evidence, the article discusses these challenges and presents recommendations for overcoming them.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is not scientifically correct because the results are presented in tabular forms. The author claims to have used quantitative data yet, there is no evidence which suggests such that data was used.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient. The author should consider adding more references within the text, particularly in the first part of the literature review. The author seems to have relied heavily on a single source for each theoretical framework. The author should look for more recent sources to support what is discussed by the pioneers of the respective theories	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments Sented e</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Under the Literature Review section, the author should show how different theoretical frameworks link with each other in the context of the paper. The link can be shown in graphic form 2. The Results and Discussion section should be presented in tables to show that indeed quantitative data was used as mentioned in Data Analysis Techniques section. Instead, the author resorted to analyzing data only from a qualitative perspective. Mentioning terms like descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, and mean scores without actually showing them in tabular form is not a good academic exercise. 3. In the Research Design, the author mentions that "an explanatory research design, which is suitable for understanding the cause-and-effect relationships between local donors and the success or failure of rural water supply projects in Tanzania." However, there is no econometric/regression model quantified results to show the cause and effect relationship. In terms of cause and effect there should be two groups (treatment and control). The sample size should also be bigger. Therefore, the author should refrain from using the cause and effect because it distorts the whole purpose of the paper. 4. In the Recommendations section, some of the recommendation are duplication of what is in the literature review. If so, the author should mention that the recommendations are borrowed from somewhere. 5. Every study has limitations. I suggest that the author mentions the limitations of the study as the last section 	

Comment [u1]: ework

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Given Matopote</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Botswana</p>