

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_127505 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | TACKLING LIP HEMANGIOMA IN THE ELDERLY WITH POLIDOCANOL SCLEROTHERAPY – A CASE REPORT AND REVIEW. |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript is a good reminder of a benign vascular tumor, that wouldn't be a treatment problem- but in the head and neck area it is often a functional and esthetical problem. Because of the localization, and often a greater size of the lesion, surgery isn't the first choice so the article is a good review of literature for the alternative and up-to date approach for the oral hemangiomas. Although it is well structurally written, it seems to lack more detail information about the specific case report of the manuscript. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | I would suggest a title change from "elderly" to "adults" because of the nature and classification of hemangiomas. The elderly population does not have any distinctive features regarding treatment approach, only compared with children. Also, according to WHO "elderly" is a person older than 65, and the patient in this case report is 64 years old. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The use of the word "geriatric" is inappropriate, since according to WHO this classifies a person older than 65, and the patient in this case report is 64 years old. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The structure and subsections are appropriate. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | In the Introduction section , in the first sentence it should be clear that hemangiomas are "vascular" tumors, although it is stated later in the Discussion section. The hemangioma of the patient is not well described in the follow-up. There is no "after treatment" size of the tumor, only information that it has shrunk. There should be some measurable parameter of the treatment- eather size (cm), intralesion resistance index (RI) before/after or a photograph of the lesion before/after. Also, it would be more accurate to say what kind of hemangioma was it, regarding it's structure of tissue. In the Discussion: the anatomical description of the most common sites of head and neck hemangiomas is confusing: "mouth, airway track, muscles". The mouth (I prefer term oral cavity) is a part of the airway trackt, and also-which muscles? Of the mouth, oropharynx, or generally head and neck? The part about lower leg spider and varicose veins is irrelevant for this article. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The references are sufficient. | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The authors must decide for the BE or AE nomenclature – “tumor” or “tumour”. | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Sandra Baranovic |
| Department, University & Country | University Hospital for Tumors, Croatia |