

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_128867
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Integrated Interventions of Prescriber Education and De-escalation Strategies on Implementing WHO Access: Watch Targets: Insights from a South Indian Tertiary Care Setting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a pressing global issue, antimicrobial resistance (AMR), and demonstrates practical interventions in a resource-constrained setting. By focusing on prescriber education and de-escalation strategies, it contributes significantly to the growing body of knowledge on AMSPs in low and middle-income countries (LMICs). The findings, particularly on improving the Access: Watch ratio and reducing Reserve antibiotic use, are commendable and relevant for implementation in similar healthcare settings.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate and accurately reflects the content of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-structured, covering aims, methodology, results, and conclusions effectively. However, including specific numerical outcomes from the interventions (e.g., the percentage improvement in DOT or prescriber confidence) could make the abstract more impactful.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. The study methodology and statistical analysis are appropriate for the research objectives.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. Adding a few more from global perspectives on AMSP implementations in LMICs could enhance its context.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and appropriate for scholarly communication, with minor grammatical improvements recommended.	
Optional/General comments	The introduction could benefit from a concise summary of the gaps in AMSP research in LMICs. It would be helpful to include a limitations section explicitly discussing the constraints faced during the study and areas for future research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakibul Hasan
Department, University & Country	University of Louisville, United States