

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_129223
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Analysis of Desiccant Dehumidifier with an Expanded Regeneration Section
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The research title is well novel. ○ The area is very important as agricultural productivity is considerable for a nation to alleviate poverty. ○ Scientific finding are well important in the community to keep research in this area ○ Solid desiccant are important than the liquid desiccant in the dehumidification however it requires high energy. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It needs re writing of abstracts as I recommend on the manuscripts. Abstract must be clear and concise which includes: introduction, statement of the problem, methods and materials, result and discussion, and conclusion	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is scientifically but needs reorganizing	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes but some reference in the manuscript not indicated	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is well in language and addresses the findings as well	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ General the article needs some modification and writing and corresponding of tables with the description in the manuscripts. ○ There are no afflation's and author's may they will include in the final document. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geleta Fekadu Daba
Department, University & Country	Wallaga University, Ethiopia