

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_128959
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of some heavy metals in different types of canned tuna in the Egyptian market
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It would be better to also examine people weighing more than 70 kg due to the accumulation of heavy metals in fat and bone tissues	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The manuscript title and keywords are not appropriately selected.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of this manuscript is acceptable.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This manuscript could be good literature with a few changes. Yes, it has good scientific conditions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Dear author, your referencing method is not in accordance with the journal. Please correct them.	

**Review Form 3**

<p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, suitable for scholarly</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Dear author, I carefully read your manuscript and the following items were unclear and incomprehensible to me. Please take action to answer these questions.</b></p> <p><b>It would be better to also examine people weighing more than 70 kg due to the accumulation of heavy metals in fat and bone tissues.</b></p> <p><b>Biotransformation of mercury and its conversion to methylmercury form is not mentioned, whether this amount is considered biotransformation or not.</b></p> <p><b>In the opinion of the author of this manuscript, why is the of shredded samples observed in a higher amount in copper metal?</b></p> <p><b>Dear author, have you studied the dietary patterns of a specific population in Egypt for this manuscript?</b></p> <p><b>In this literature and manuscript, you have only examined the amount of heavy metals consumed in tuna fish. If the people and population of Egypt are among the high consumers of canned tuna fish, you have only examined this case and have not examined other situations and cases where the possibility of exposure to these dangerous toxic metals is possible, so it is better to consider the hazard quotient, hazard quotient, Estimated Daily Intake (EDI), etc. tables.</b></p> <p><b>Given that you have only reviewed the results of this study in this manuscript and the literature, you should have compared these results with other previous studies in this field. I have not seen any comparative results in this regard in this manuscript.</b></p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Sahar Sabahi</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b></p>