

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_128770
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of The Effect of Gender on Ready-to-Eat Food Consumption Status of Associate Degree Students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This study able to show the role of gender in the preference of ready to eat food that need to be investigate in another country.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>My suggestion for the tittle "Exploring the Gender Effect on Ready-to-Eat Food Preferences of Associate Degree Students"</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract is comprehensive enough, yet, I suggest to add future study on this topic on different context</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript has following the step of research. Yet in this article discussion of the study as finding is not provided. Moreover, there is disclaimer in the conclusion part that the result of this study is not reflect the consumption behaviour of the students. It is kind of contradict with the scientific research of this study should be	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The reference are sufficient yet need to be updated	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	At medium quality and understandable to read	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<b><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Adib Susilo</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Universitas Darussalam Gontor, Indonesia</b>