

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJSSPN_128967 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Impact of foliar application of Fe, Zn and B in combination with Mg on growth, yield and quality of Acid lime (<i>Citrus aurantifolia</i> Swingle) under Alfisols of Andhra Pradesh |
| Type of the Article | Original Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript treated very important topic related to plant nutrition with some of the most important microelements. The research is well explained and described, so the recommendation is to be accepted for publication, but after several minor corrections: 1. The SD values from the statistical analysis should be insert in all of the tables (section Results and discussion); 2. The Conclusion should be rewrite completely: please highlight the most important and significant findings from your research, and give some recommendations for future practices. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | yes | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | yes | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | yes | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | yes | |
| Optional/General comments | This manuscript treated very important topic related to plant nutrition with some of the most important microelements. The research is well explained and described, so the recommendation is to be accepted for publication, but after several minor corrections: 1. The SD values from the statistical analysis should be insert in all of the tables (section Results and discussion); 2. The Conclusion should be rewrite completely: please highlight the most important and significant findings from your research, and give some recommendations for future practices. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | Marina T. Stojanova |
| Department, University & Country | Univesrity of Ss. Cyril and Methodius, North Macedonia |