

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_128596
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Biochemical and Hematological Markers as Predictors of Severity in Pediatric Dengue: A Case Series Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This manuscript highlights the spectrum of severity of dengue in oediatric population. Also emphasize on the community effect of this tropical illness. The importance of novel biochemical markers has been aptly mentioned .</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes it is suitable</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>No correction needed</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes it is scientifically correct. However the patients symptoms and clinical features could have been described in more details. Also the initial vitals had not been mentioned	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references seem to be to old. Please mention references within 10 year time frame . Also no need to mention the references in brackets. Should be numbered in text and in Vancouver styling	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need some grammatical corrections	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saurabh Gupta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pacific Medical University, India</b>