

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_129184
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploration and Exploitation Strategies in SMEs: Insights from a Bibliometric Study on Organizational Ambidexterity
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	An important scientific topic in the era of development and business digitization Determining the priorities of competition between companies Addressing weaknesses and enhancing strengths	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YAS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add the most important results and recommendations in the summary.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YAS	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Citation of the main authors of the study terms	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	to some extent	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment</u> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saddam Mohammad Ali Alkhawaldeh
Department, University & Country	The World Islamic Science & Education University, Jordan