

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129863
Title of the Manuscript:	China's Belt and Road Initiative: A Strategic Tool for Soft Power Projection
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The issue presented in the title is significant, which can give new perceptives to the scientific community. But there is lack of evidence that support the title. The author should give proper evidence to present BRI as soft power projection.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable, but text is not clearly synchronized with title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should be clearer and concise. Author should present concise methodology and theoretical perspective and conclusion in it. Suggest to re-write it again.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It should improve significantly. The theoretical perspective is once indicated in introduction part as "constructivism" but there is no sufficient discussion about it. It's more like an essay. The body of the paper should co-relate with this theory.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The article applies qualitative approach reviewing literature, but the author lacks to give sufficient evidence. In chapter 4. Author cites 6 references, among them 4 is same author's literature. Which can't provide sufficient evidence. In chapter 5 also the case repeated.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Author should provide substantially evidence about soft power projection, and should support by the evidence how China is applying "constructivism" on practising its diplomacy through BRI.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bidhur Dhakal
Department, University & Country	East China Normal University, China And Electronic Science and Technology of China, China