

# Exploring the Potential of Sulphur Nanoparticles in Soybean (*Glycine max*) Cultivation

## ABSTRACT

Background- Nanoparticles treated as “magic bullets” containing nano-fertilizer which will trigger specific cellular organelles in plant to release their contents. Nanoparticle’s behaviour, mobility and their smart delivery system has a strong bearing on the growth and yield of crops.

Study Place: A pot study was conducted at net house of Micronutrient Research Scheme, AAU, Anand during *kharif* season of 2021-22 to study the “Effect of sulphur nanoparticles on growth and yield of soybean”.

Methodology: A pot study was conducted at net house of Micronutrient Research Scheme, AAU, Anand during *kharif* season of 2021-22 to study the “Effect of sulphur nanoparticles on growth and yield of soybean” at SNPs/kg @ 1 to 8 ppm soil and ES @ 4, 6 & 8 ppm S/kg soil. The pot experiment was laid out in a control randomized block design with four replications comprising of 12 treatments.

Results: Application of 8 ppm SNPs/kg soil in soybean resulted in significantly higher grain yield and nutrient content in comparison to control and other treatments. Thus, the study recommended the correct concentration of SNPs (8 ppm) for enhancing soybean production.

Keywords-Elemental Sulphur, Fractionation, Sulphur Nanoparticles, Soil Plant analysis Development

- 1. INTRODUCTION-** Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) is an important leguminous oil seed crop and due to nutritional value, it has been considered as “Protein hope of future”. The Soybean contains 40-45% protein and 18-20% oil. The USA, Brazil and Argentina are major producers of soybean. In India, soybean is one of the fastest growing crops. Soybean is high in protein and a decent source of both carbohydrate and fat and a rich source of various vitamins, minerals, and beneficial plant compounds, such as isoflavones. In India the total area under soybean cultivation is 11.2 million ha with the production and the productivity of 10.5 million metric tons and 937 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Anon., 2020a). Soybean cultivation is limited to Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Gujarat and Rajasthan state of India. In Gujarat, the total area under soybean cultivation is 1.342 million ha with the production and the productivity of 1.241 million metric tons and 673 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively

(Anon.,2020b).

Nano-fertilizers could be more soluble or more reactive than bulk fertilizers and they can exactly release their active ingredients in responding to environmental triggers. Nano-fertilizers have high surface area, increased solubility, small particle size <100nm, controlled release of nutrients due to encapsulation and increased nutrient efficiency (Yuvaraj and Subramanian,2015). Sulphur nanoparticles have a great potential as fertilizer carrier to control release of sulphate by the slow release mechanism. Despite several agronomic strategies tested for improving the use of S efficiency, it proved less success due to complex soil environmental factors. Sulphur use efficiency hardly exceeds 25% (Kyllingsbaek and Hansen, 2007).

Sulphur is an essential macronutrient in plant growth and development. Sulphur is now recognized as the fourth major plant nutrient (Tandon *et al.*, 2004; Oakley *et al.*, 2007). Plants take up sulphur in sulphate form. Sulphur is an essential element in forming protein, enzymes, vitamins and chlorophyll in plants and metabolites including glutathione, glucosinolate and alliin. Sulphur plays an important role in the formation of three amino acids (cysteine, cystine, and methionine), activation of enzymes, winter hardiness, and quality nutrient in oilseed (Prasad and Shivay, 2017). Sulphur plays a key role in protein synthesis, chlorophyll formation and oil synthesis. Cysteine and methionine are the most important sulphur-containing amino acids in plants, where they both occur as free acids and as building blocks of proteins (Mengele *et al.*, 2001). Besides, it is involved in various metabolic and enzymatic processes including photosynthesis, respiration and legume rhizobium symbiotic nitrogen fixation (Rao, 2001). Nano sulphur allows the plants to efficiently utilize the nutrient by rapidly reducing the soil reaction (pH) and causing an increase in yield and quality. With the intensive cultivation of soybean, the lack of sulphur becomes a limiting factor in the yield of this crop over time. Lastly, it is possible to use the nanosulphur not only as a fertilizer but also for plant protection and plant growth stimulants.

- 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS-** The pot study was conducted at the net house of Micronutrient Research Scheme, AAU, Anand during the kharif season of 2021-22 to study the effect of sulphur nanoparticles on growth and yield content of soybean (NRC-37).

**2.1 COLLECTION AND PREPARATION OF SOIL SAMPLE** -The soil used under study is representative soils of the middle Gujarat region and is locally known as "Goradu" soil. The texture of the soil is loamy sand and moisture retentive, belongs to the soil order Inceptisols (*Typic Ustochrept*). It responds well to manuring and is suitable to a variety of crops of the tropical region. The texture of the soil is loamy sand and the soil used for the experiment was neutral in reaction, medium in available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, available K<sub>2</sub>O, DTPA-Zn was sufficient and deficient in available sulphur. The weather parameters viz., temperature, average relative humidity, wind velocity and bright sunshine hours observed during the experiment period. The seasonal rainfall was received during the study period. In general, the weather conditions were found favourable for normal growth of soybean crop.

**2.2 POT FILLING** -The 15 kg capacity earthen pots were selected for the pot study. At the time of pot filling, the drainage hole covered with broken pot piece to ensure proper drainage and to avoid water logging. Fifteen kilograms of soil was taken in each pot. The recommended dose of N and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> were added through urea and potassium dihydrogen phosphate (30-60-0). The soil was low in organic carbon (0.33 %) and available sulphur (5 ppm) in initial analysis. Fertilizer applied on basis of the weight of hectare furrow slice. The sulphur nanoparticles was applied in soil as basal application before sowing. After proper filling soil in the pots, initially ten healthy seeds of soybean were sown into each pot at proper depth. Thinning was done after germination and finally 2 plants were kept for study. Pots were regularly watered and weed free condition maintained up to maturity stage for well vegetative growth and development of crop. The observations like plant height at 30 & 60 DAS and at harvest, chlorophyll content at 45 DAS & no. of pods per plant were taken in accordance with the crop growth in pots at maturity stage, seed and stover yield were also recorded from each pot. The treatments were comprised of T<sub>1</sub> (control only NPK), T<sub>2</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + sulphur nanoparticles @ 1mg S/kg soil), T<sub>3</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + sulphur nanoparticles @ 2 mg S/kg soil), T<sub>4</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + sulphur nanoparticles @ 3 mg S/kg soil), T<sub>5</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + sulphur nanoparticles @ 4 mg S/kg soil), T<sub>6</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + sulphur nanoparticles @ 5 mg S/kg soil), T<sub>7</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + sulphur nanoparticles @ 6 mg S/kg soil), T<sub>8</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + sulphur nanoparticles @ 7 mg S/kg soil), T<sub>9</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + sulphur nanoparticles @ 8 mg S/kg soil), T<sub>10</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + elemental sulphur @ 4 mg S/kg soil), T<sub>11</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + elemental sulphur @ 6 mg S/kg soil) and T<sub>12</sub> (T<sub>1</sub> + elemental sulphur @ 8 mg S/kg soil).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF SULPHUR NANO PARTICLES ON GROWTH PARAMETERS OF SOYBEAN

**Table 1-Effect of different levels of sulphur nanoparticles on periodical plant height, Leaf chlorophyll SPAD value and pod per plant of soybean**

Tr. No.	Plant height (cm)			SPAD value	No. of pod/plant
	30 DAS	60 DAS	At harvest		
T <sub>1</sub> -Control(NPK only)	39.98	93.70	108.45	18.25	20.75
T <sub>2</sub> -RDF + SNPs @ 1 mg S/kg soil	41.27	96.63	113.13	19.55	22.25
T <sub>3</sub> -RDF+SNPs @2mg S/kg soil	41.95	96.88	115.63	20.93	23.25
T <sub>4</sub> -RDF+SNPs@3mg S/kg soil	45.64	97.35	116.20	21.13	23.25
T <sub>5</sub> -RDF+ SNPs@4mg S/kg soil	45.93	99.28	117.43	21.48	24.00
T <sub>6</sub> -RDF+SNPs @5mg S/kg soil	46.16	97.95	117.63	21.86	24.75

T <sub>7</sub> -RDF+SNPs @6mg S/kgsoil	46.60	97.55	118.33	22.01	25.00
T <sub>8</sub> -RDF+SNPs @7mg S/kgsoil	46.95	98.40	119.60	22.33	25.00
T <sub>9</sub> -RDF+SNPs@8mg S/kgsoil	47.78	99.58	120.43	22.73	26.25
T <sub>10</sub> -RDF+ES @4mg S/kgsoil	41.13	97.38	116.48	22.03	21.50
T <sub>11</sub> -RDF+ES @6mg S/kgsoil	43.72	97.28	117.15	21.05	22.25
T <sub>12</sub> -RDF+ES @8mg S/kgsoil	42.98	95.38	115.33	19.34	21.00
<b>SEm±</b>	0.99	0.95	0.65	0.38	0.50
<b>CD at 5%</b>	2.84	2.72	1.87	1.09	1.44
<b>CV (%)</b>	4.48	1.95	1.12	1.46	4.31

Regarding plant height at harvest, all treatments showed significant effect on plant height over control (Table.1). Plant height was recorded higher at 30, 60 and 90 DAS (47.78cm)(99.58cm)(120.43cm) respectively under T<sub>9</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>8</sub> only. The improvement in plant height under treatments T<sub>9</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> were to the tune of 30.37 and 26.67 per cent, respectively, over control (108.45 cm). These results were in a line with those of Thirunavukkarasu & Subramanian (2015). The higher chlorophyll content (22.73) at 45 DAS was observed under T<sub>9</sub> (8mg SNPs/kg soil) which was statistically at par with treatments T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> & T<sub>10</sub> (21.48, 21.86, 22.01, 22.33, 22.03), respectively. The increase in chlorophyll content under treatments T<sub>9</sub> was to the tune of 21.73 per cent over control. SPAD gives direct reading of chlorophyll by placing fully grown green leaf between instrument.



T<sub>9</sub>-SNPs@ 8 mg S/kg soil      T<sub>12</sub>-ES @ 8 mg S/kg soil      T<sub>1</sub>-Control

**Fig. 1 Effect of Sulphur Nanoparticles and ES on growth of Soybean**

The beneficial effect of treatments were observed on number of effective pods per plant as

compared to control, where insignificantly higher number of pods per plant (26.25) found with T<sub>9</sub> (8 mg SNPs/kg soil) over the other treatments but, it was statistically at par with T<sub>7</sub> (6 mg SNPs /kg soil) and T<sub>8</sub> (7 mg SNPs /kg soil). The increased in pods might be due to increased sulphur uptake as the result of enhanced availability of essential nutrients in soil due to the application of SMNZ based sulphur fertilizer. This might be due to more accumulation of amino acids and amide substances and their translocation to reproductive organs which influenced growth and yield due to application of sulphur (Dongarkar *et al.*, 2005).

### 3.2 EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF SULPHUR NANO PARTICLES ON YIELD OF SOYBEAN

Table 2-Effect of sulphur nanoparticles on seed, stover and shell yield of soybean

Tr. No.	Yield (g/pot)			
	No. of pod	Seed	Stover	Shell
T <sub>1</sub> -Control (NPK only)	20.75	9.57	7.55	1.68
T <sub>2</sub> -RDF + SNPs @ 1 mg S/kg soil	22.25	9.65	7.77	1.90
T <sub>3</sub> -RDF+SNPs @ 2mg S/kg soil	23.25	9.68	8.21	2.08
T <sub>4</sub> -RDF+SNPs @ 3mg S/kg soil	23.25	9.88	9.04	2.10
T <sub>5</sub> -RDF+ SNPs @ 4mg S/kg soil	24.00	10.17	9.04	2.10
T <sub>6</sub> -RDF+SNPs @ 5mg S/kg soil	24.75	10.63	9.38	2.13
T <sub>7</sub> -RDF+SNPs @ 6mg S/kg soil	25.00	10.80	9.90	2.13
T <sub>8</sub> -RDF+SNPs @ 7mg S/kg soil	25.00	11.15	10.05	2.18
T <sub>9</sub> -RDF+SNPs @ 8mg S/kg soil	26.25	11.25	10.38	2.50
T <sub>10</sub> -RDF+ES @ 4mg S/kg soil	21.50	10.63	9.15	2.00
T <sub>11</sub> -RDF+ES @ 6mg S/kg soil	22.25	10.40	8.81	1.85
T <sub>12</sub> -RDF+ES @ 8mg S/kg soil	21.00	9.83	7.76	1.75
<b>SEm±</b>	0.50	0.19	0.29	0.20
<b>CD at 5%</b>	1.44	0.56	0.82	NS
<b>CV (%)</b>	4.31	3.78	6.44	20.1

The data on seed yield presented in Table .2 revealed that among different treatments, T<sub>9</sub> (8 mg SNPs /kg soil), T<sub>8</sub> (7 mg SNPs /kg soil) and T<sub>7</sub> (6 mg SNPs /kg soil) recorded significantly higher seed yield of soybean over control (9.57 g/pot). The significantly highest seed yield (11.25g/pot) recorded under T<sub>9</sub>, which was remained at par with T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> and the

percent enhancement in seed yield was to the tune of 17.55 due to T<sub>9</sub> treatment. Among different SNP treatments, T<sub>9</sub> (8 mg SNPs/kg soil) treatment found comparatively better as compared to T<sub>8</sub> (7 mg SNPs/kg soil). Among different treatments, T<sub>9</sub> (8 mg SNPs/kg soil), T<sub>8</sub> (7 mg SNPs/kg soil) and T<sub>7</sub> (6 mg SNPs/kg soil) significantly increased stover yield over control (7.55 g/pot). The significantly higher stover yield (10.38 g/pot) recorded under T<sub>9</sub>, which was statistically at par with T<sub>8</sub> & T<sub>7</sub>. Among different treatments of nano-particles, 8 mg SNPs/kg soil (T<sub>9</sub>) found comparatively better as compared to 7 mg SNPs/kg soil (T<sub>8</sub>). The shell yield was non-significantly affected by different treatments over control. The highest shell yield (2.5 g/pot) was recorded under T<sub>9</sub> treatment.

This increase in soybean yield is relevant with its productivity after using nanosulphur as compared to mineral sulphur (control) might be due to that application of nanosulphur resulted in increase in the germination rate, germination percentage, seedling fresh and dry weights and root length were improved under salinity (Ashouret *et al.*, 2017). The positive effect of sulphur on seed yield is one of the most widely documented facts across the world (Patel, 2009; Behera *et al.*, 2021). Since the pore diameter of cell walls of root hairs of plants is in the range of 3.5-3.8 nm, only nano particles or aggregates with diameters less than the cell wall pore diameter can enter the cell wall of undamaged cells (Dietz and Herth, 2011).

The plant growth and yield attributes of soybean like plant height and number of pods per plant significantly ( $P=0.05$ ) increased due to treatment T<sub>9</sub> (RDF+SNPs@8mgS/kg soil) treatment which was at par with treatments T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> & T<sub>8</sub>; in case of plant height at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest and at par with treatments T<sub>8</sub> & T<sub>7</sub> in case of pods per plant. The leaf chlorophyll content at 45 DAS of soybean was also significantly increased due to T<sub>9</sub> (RDF+SNPs@8mgS/kg soil) which was at par with T<sub>10</sub> (RDF+Elemental-S@4mgS/kg soil). The significantly ( $P=0.05$ ) highest seed and stover yield of soybean recorded under treatment T<sub>9</sub> (RDF+SNPs@8mgS/kg soil) and was at par with T<sub>7</sub> & T<sub>8</sub> in case of seed and stover yield, whereas the application of elemental S @4, 6 & 8 mg S/kg soil significantly decreased seed and stover yields of soybean. The shell yield was non-significantly affected by different sulphur application treatments.

**4. CONCLUSION-** On the basis of results, it can be concluded that the application of sulphur nano-particles @ 8 mg S/kg soil along with RDF (NPK 30:60:00 kg/ha) significantly increased growth and yield attributes of soybean over control (RDF only) and elemental sulphur @ 4, 6 & 8 mg S/kg soil. The sulphur nano-particles proved better in increasing the growth, yield attributes and yields of as compared to elemental sulphur in loamy sand soil.

RDF- Recommended Dose of Fertilizer  
SNPs- Sulphur Nano particles  
ES- Elemental Sulphur

SPAD-Soil Plant Analysis Development  
DAS- Days After Sowing  
SMNZ- Sulphur Modified Natural Zeolite

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

**Option 1:** NO generative AI technologies is used during writing this manuscript

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

**Option 2:**

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous, (2020<sup>a</sup>). Soyabean Processors Association of India.
- Anonymous, (2020<sup>b</sup>). State agriculture plan and State infrastructure development Plan, Gujarat.
- Ashour, H. A., Abdel Wahab, M., & Mahmoud, A. W. M. (2017). Response of Jatropha integrifolia plants irrigated with different levels of saline water to nano silicon and gypsum. *Journal of Agricultural Studies*, 5, 136-160.
- Behera, S. K., Shukla, A. K., Prakash, C., Tripathi, A., Kumar, A., & Trivedi, V. (2021). Establishing management zones of soil sulfur and micronutrients for sustainable crop production. *Land Degradation & Development*, 32(13), 3614-3625.
- Dietz, K. J. and Herth, S. (2011). Plant nanotoxicology. *Trends in Plant Sciences*, 16, 582-589.
- Dongarkar, K. P., Pawar, W. S., Khawale, V.S., Khutate, N.G., and Gudadhe, N.N. (2005) Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on growth and yield of mustard. (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Journal of Soils and Crops* 15:163-166

- Kyllingsbæk, A., & Hansen, J. F. (2007). Development in nutrient balances in Danish agriculture 1980–2004. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 79, 267-280.
- Mengel, K., Kirkby, E. A., Kosegarten, H., & Appel, T. (2001). Sulphur. In *Principles of plant nutrition* (pp. 435-452). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Oakley, A. E., Collingwood, J. F., Dobson, J., Love, G., Perrott, H. R., Edwardson, J. A., ... & Morris, C. M. (2007). Individual dopaminergic neurons show raised iron levels in Parkinson disease. *Neurology*, 68(21), 1820-1825.
- Patel, G. N., Patel, P. T., Patel, P. H., Patel, D. M., Patel, D. K., & Patel, R. M. (2009). Yield attributes, yield, quality and uptake of nutrients by summer groundnut, *Arachis hypogaea* L. as influenced by sources and levels of sulphur under varying irrigation schedules. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 26(2), 119-122.
- Prasad, R., & Shivay, Y. S. (2017). Sulphur fertilization and food quality-A review. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 62(1), 1-7.
- Rao, N. S. (2001). *Soil microbiology* (No. 579.1757 Su14s Ej. 1 019125). Science Publishers.
- Tandon, V. K., Chhor, R. B., Singh, R. V., Rai, S., & Yadav, D. B. (2004). Design, synthesis and evaluation of novel 1, 4-naphthoquinone derivatives as antifungal and anticancer agents. *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters*, 14(5), 1079-1083.
- Thirunavukkarasu, M., & Subramanian, K. S. (2015). 3. Nano-sulphur on biomass, yield attributes, soil microbes and physiological parameters of groundnut. *Life Science Leaflets*, 63, 13.
- Yuvaraj, M. & Subramanian, K.S., (2015). Controlled-release fertilizer of Zinc encapsulated by a Mn hollow core shell, *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 319-326.