

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_128383
Title of the Manuscript:	Dead Internet Theory: An AI Driven Superficial Interaction Replacing Meaningful Connections on Social Media
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses the Dead Internet Theory (DIT), an emerging concept of considerable relevance to the scientific community. By examining the prevalence of AI-driven interactions and the decline of authentic human connection on social media, the study provides a timely analysis of how algorithms and corporate practices shape digital ecosystems.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The research abstract is comprehensive but a bit lengthy meanwhile. The author may consider of writing a short abstract in not more than 250 words.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, as it is well-grounded in a critical examination of existing theories, empirical evidence, and technological trends.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript includes references that are relevant and supportive of its arguments. However, while some references are recent and align well with the topic, others could be supplemented to ensure a more robust and updated foundation.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the manuscript are largely suitable for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naren Kumar N L
Department, University & Country	Periyar University, India