

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128932
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Dynamics of Poultry Products in India and Karnataka: A Focus on Egg and Meat Production
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, this research contributes to scientific community and has potential applications in policy making, industry investment, academic research and extension services. however, there is need to explore other regions or countries, employ more advanced statistical models or machine learning techniques to analyze the data and investigate the relationships between the poultry industry and other sectors, such as feed production, animal health, or environmental sustainability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is fine	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It should include the Methodology before results	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not enough. At least should add more to above 10 references to worth an article	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is if the Journal recommends US English,	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jonathan Tanganyika
Department, University & Country	Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Malawi