

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_128768
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Hibiscus sabdariffa in Managing High Blood Pressure: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article gives us the awareness regarding the different medicinal plants which can be used for therapeutic treatment. Also gives us the new look towards the various plants present around us. It helps us to get the knowledge regarding the different decoction which can be prepared and used by people to treat different diseases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is suitable for the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is written properly. My suggestion would be to add a little more detailed content in it for better exploration of article and for better understanding of the same.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent and sufficient enough for the topic to be understood and conveyed	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The content can be increased a little and more details can be given otherwise the article is properly written	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakshanda Suresh Khorjuwenkar
Department, University & Country	Yashwantrao Bhonsale College of Pharmacy, India