

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129064
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Nutrient Management Strategies for Redgram (Cajanus cajan L.) Based Intercropping Systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Intercropping with red gram provides good opportunity to optimize the use of available resources such as light, water, and nutrients, leading to an increase in the combined crop yield .and also integrating organic fertilizers with the recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) is a promising approach to sustain crop productivity while reducing dependence on chemical inputs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. In the abstract, the test crop variety name needs to be added	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some of the references are very old (more than 20 years). These need to be replaced by the recent ones.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatical improvement is necessary.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Accepted after minor revision</p> <p>In the abstract, the test crop variety name needs to be added</p> <p>Grammatical improvement is necessary.</p> <p>The paper should be revised per journal format and written per the author's guidelines.</p> <p>Some of the references are very old (more than 20 years). These need to be replaced by the recent ones.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monika Ray
Department, University & Country	Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India