

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129064
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Nutrient Management Strategies for Redgram (Cajanus cajan L.) Based Intercropping Systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article has many ambiguities in the title and abstract, and it is not recommended for publication in this writing	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title does not match the text of the abstract because there are different plants in the abstract. It seems that there are 4 plants in the text of the abstract, which is surprising.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	very vague	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I have read the abstract, the rest of the article cannot be reviewed until the abstract is corrected	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	After correction and clarity, it will be reviewed in the abstract	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	no	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is not suitable PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehdi Dahmardeh
Department, University & Country	University of Zabol, Iran