

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_129152
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of induced biological crusts through ecological engineering on the physicochemical characteristics of degraded soils in West-Central region of Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gives some information for future works • 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	This work is very crucial and suitable. But it is better to omit degraded from the title and add to the objective. 'Effects of induced biological crusts through ecological engineering on the physicochemical characteristics of soils in West-Central region of Burkina Faso' as an alternative	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Don't write the words which is written on the title as keywords ✓ Please clarify about 3 core samples you take from each land use. Is all land uses have uniform slops 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are too old . it is better to replace it by the recent one(at least 5 years old)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Your conclusion is not enough. what you recommend for future research? Generally the article is very important and can contribute few information for us .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dejene Getahun Abebe
Department, University & Country	Oromia Agricultural Research Institute, Ethiopia