

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_128401
Title of the Manuscript:	Norm-Attainable Operators in Operator Ideals: Characterizations, Properties, and Structural Implications.
Type of the Article	????

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of significance since it has provided some important characterization of Norm-Attainable Operators with respect to common operator classes, including compact operators, Schatten p -class, trace-class, and weakly compact operators.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title mentions about Operator ideals however, in the work little has been discussed about ideals. Therefore the use of the terms operator Ideals in the title is misleading. Also the use of two terms "Characterizations, Properties" has same meaning, therefore only one term could be used. I suggest the Title to be renamed as "Characterization of Norm-Attainable Operators in some common operator classes"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is need to check on the use of the concept of operator ideals, which as I have mentioned about the title has not been adequately discussed in the entire research. Also the author mentions Corollaries elucidating practical implications, yet in the work no single corollary has been presented.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Adequate references have been provided.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the article is appropriate to the scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The author to indicate the type of article. Under Preliminaries, the terms being defined are existing elsewhere in previous literature research work, therefore the author need to cite them. No ethical issues were noted No plagiarism is suspected.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sammy Musundi
Department, University & Country	Chuka University, Kenya