

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_128401
Title of the Manuscript:	Norm-Attainable Operators in Operator Ideals: Characterizations, Properties, and Structural Implications.
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a novel and interesting viewpoint on the important subject of norm-attainable operators acting on a Hilbert space. Important classes and ideals contained in the algebra of all bounded linear operators, that have the property of being norm-attainable, are pointed out. The results are preceded by clear, brief definitions and commented according with their statements. The proofs are also clear and convincing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	In my opinion, the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract is comprehensive and does not need any significant modification.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript. There are no competing interest issues in this manuscript. I do not suspect plagiarism in this manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristian Octav Olteanu
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic University of Bucharest, Romania