

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_129099
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization of Micropropagation protocol in Rose (Rosa spp.) var. DIVINE
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the production of plant material, tissue culture techniques are advantageous for roses due to their ability to restrict germ propagation. The strategy of the author to influence the regeneration of rose through tissue culture is an effective method for enhancing production. The significance of the research is considerable.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please check the attachment.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Considerable	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The introduction should be discussed with the most recent (within 5-7 years) research. Appropriate ref must be added for statistical data in the introduction. Ref also should add in the protocol followed in this study. More discussion should be added to interpret the results. Apart from these, the manuscript structure is suitable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jamila A. Tuly
Department, University & Country	Guangzhou University, Bangladesh