

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_129075
Title of the Manuscript:	Autocheck: Inspection Service Application, Purchase Assistance, And Used Car Buying And Selling
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is an alternative application for hire-purchase of a vehicle.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is vague. It is <i>suggested to put more details on the method used, number of samples and some key results.</i>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is inline with the theme of journal	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some parts of the manuscripts does not follow the journal template. i.e keywords. 2. The style of citations used is not according to the journal template. 3. The introduction is too shallow. It is suggested for the authors to include the current platform used in the country to purchase used car and table put its advantages and disadvantages. Hence highlighting why this study is conducted. 4. The numbering of Figure is wrong (stated in sentences). 5. The inclusion of system healthcare is not applicable or relate with the topic. 6. There is no samples of user using the apps. 7. The conclusion can be made clearer if there are some preliminary results from user. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Ikmal Fazlan Rozli
Department, University & Country	Universiti teknologi mara (uitm), Malaysia