

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129122
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Graduate Education on Employability and Career Advancement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the critical role of graduate education in enhancing employability and career advancement. It provides evidence-based insights into how specific graduate programs contribute to professional growth, promotions, and salary increases, aligning with human capital theory.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Impact of Graduate Education on Employability and Career Advancement," is suitable and reflects the content accurately. However, for added specificity, you may consider: "Graduate Education and Its Influence on Employability and Career Progression: A Tracer Study of La Salle University Graduates."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and provides a clear overview of the study's aims, methodology, findings, and conclusions. However, consider highlighting specific implications for curriculum development or policy-making to make it more impactful.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, with clearly defined objectives, robust methodology, and well-presented results. The statistical analysis is appropriate for the data and aligns with the descriptive research design. However, more discussion on potential biases or limitations of the study would strengthen its scientific rigor.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are generally sufficient, but some are outdated (e.g., Spilerman, 1991). Consider replacing or supplementing with more recent studies on graduate education and employability, particularly in the context of the Philippines or Southeast Asia. Examples: Recent CHED or ASEAN reports on employability metrics.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear, scholarly, and suitable for academic communication. Minor revisions to enhance conciseness and eliminate redundancy could improve readability.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript would benefit from integrating qualitative findings or quotes from respondents to provide richer insights. Including graphical representations of key data, such as satisfaction ratings or employability trends, could enhance visual appeal and understanding.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruth G. Luciano
Department, University & Country	Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Philippines