

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_129122
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Graduate Education on Employability and Career Advancement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Introduction explicitly mentions this, especially in the second, fourth, and final paragraphs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Under Methodology: Change 68% to 54% (68/127) for the percentage of MBA students. Under Results: Change 65% to 71% reported salary increases.... (Please refer to Table 2. If the respondents with salary increases is 83 (65%) and with significant increase is 7 (6%) then the total respondents with salary increases (whether significant or not) is 90 or 71%).	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	2.2 Research Respondents – reconcile the year graduated included in the discussion (AY 2018-2019 to AY 2022-2023) vis-à-vis Table 1 (2018 to 2022). 2.4 Data gathering procedure – reconcile the years included (2018-2022) with data in 2.2 Research Respondents. Observe consistency. For Table 5: Suggestion #1. To change the label of Table 5 from Level of Satisfaction with Program Delivery and Implementation to Table 5. Level of Satisfaction with Curricular Programs' Delivery and Implementation. Suggestion #2. May drop/delete "Curriculum" in indicator 1 and just maintain "range of courses" because all other indicators are part of the curriculum to be consistent with the Abstract that "graduates felt highly satisfied (overall, 4.51) with the curriculum". Please include in the discussion results of "follow-ups" with the respondents as mentioned in 2.4 Data gathering procedure.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Include CHED Memorandum 15, Series of 2019 Policies and Guidelines for Graduate Education Include Jackson (2009) mentioned in 2.1 Research Design Delete Chavez, N. H., De Castro E. L., Camello N.C., Dolot J. A., Laguador J.M. (2016) and Nielsen, T., Lindemann, D. M., Toft, L., Pettersson, M., Jensen, L. S., Nielsen, E. H. & Larsen, G. (2024) among the References because these are not used/cited in the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Regina D. Ramel
Department, University & Country	Saint Mary's University of Bayombong, Philippines