

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_129116
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of several bee attractants on the attractiveness of Indian honey bee, <i>Apis cerana indica</i> and their effect on seed yield of niger [ <i>Guizotia abyssinica</i> (L.f.) Cass] crop
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This article is a valuable addition to the literature and field application as well as, will undoubtedly inspire further research and discussion of other factors such as attraction of natural enemies and other pollinators. As the natural enemies are also considered as important factor which may influence the quality and quantity of the crops by reducing the pest load in the field.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>No</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	It is scientifically correct	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The format of references in the text as well as in the reference section is not uniform. Therefore, it is suggested to again cross check the references. For example in the text, at some places along with the references, superscript is also written whereas, it is absent at other places. In Reference section, references are not in the proper format. Moreover, few more recent references must be added.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Weather parasitoids or other natural enemies were considered during the studies as parasitoids are also attracted to tested materials and can also influences the present study output.</li> <li>2) It is also suggested to identify the main component in tested materials which will attract honey bees not the pests or other unwanted organisms.</li> <li>3) Discussion part is slightly weak therefore it is suggested to elaborate further and also supported by other studies.</li> </ol>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Kapinder</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Allahabad, India</b>