

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128943
Title of the Manuscript:	Identifying Constraints Perceived by Farmers Practicing Groundnut Cultivation in Odisha, India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is valuable for the scientific community as it offers detailed insights into the constraints faced by groundnut cultivators in Odisha, India, a significant groundnut-producing region. By identifying challenges related to planning, technological support, credit, finance, and marketing, this study provides actionable data for improving groundnut productivity and farmer livelihoods. The research emphasizes the importance of addressing these constraints through better resource allocation, skill development, and institutional support, thereby contributing to the development of sustainable and efficient groundnut cultivation practices. These findings can guide policymakers and extension workers in formulating targeted interventions to enhance agricultural productivity and resilience.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, " <i>Identifying Constraints Perceived by Farmers Practicing Groundnut Cultivation in Odisha, India,</i> " is appropriate and aligns with the study's scope. No changes are necessary.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract was appropriate and comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References in the introduction and discussion parts could be improved. The references are generally sufficient; however, I would recommend checking if there are recent studies on "Identifying Constraints Perceived by Farmers Practicing Groundnut Cultivation in Odisha, India" that could be included, ideally from the last 5-10 years. Ensuring that the manuscript draws on the latest research will enhance its relevance and impact.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	<p>Flow and readability are not maintained in the introduction. There should be a balance between the pre and post writing, which is lacking strongly in the introduction part, so Kindly focus....</p> <p>Introduction Review of literature Research Gap Research question Objective of the study</p> <p>The reader of the article should get a clarity from the communication you are trying to make. Then find the gap of previous studies and then explain why you undertook this study. No need of mentioning the sub-headings in the introduction part but these things should be clearly incorporated to improve readability.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niraj Kumar
Department, University & Country	Junagadh Agricultural University, India