

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_129500
Title of the Manuscript:	AZOLLA PINNATA ENRICHED GOAT DUNG VERMICOMPOST FOR REGENERATIVE AGRICULTURE
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Organic fertilizers are environment friendly and non-toxic in nature, making them the best fertilizers for plants and crops. Having N-fixing ability and higher P accumulating capacity, <i>Azolla</i> plants can be utilized as a good organic fertilizer too. But, most farmers are unaware of the advantages of this plant or how to grow and maintain the plants to provide organic fertilizer. This study, which makes use of <i>Azolla pinnata</i> fronds enriched goat dung vermicompost, clearly reveals an effort to develop methods for improving soil quality and preserving sustainability, which is unquestionably commendable.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is more or less suitable.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	I think, abstract of the article is comprehensive, describing the important parts of the experiment, as desired by the Journal.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript has to be modified slightly to make it more scientifically correct. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Guidelines for the Author must be strictly followed. Care must be taken, while writing 'Highlights'. If it is not wanted by the journal, then it should be ignored.</li> <li>2. Appropriate References must be mentioned in the 'Introduction' part.</li> <li>3. Result Table must not be given at the end. It must be placed in appropriate position.</li> <li>4. Most probably Fig. 1 is not viewable.</li> <li>5. While writing References, Guidelines for the Author must be strictly followed.</li> </ol>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References are recent obviously.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Quality of language should be slightly upgraded for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b><u>Name:</u></b>	<b>Gopa Shome</b>
<b><u>Department, University &amp; Country</u></b>	<b>Chandernagore College, India</b>