

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129283
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY AND DISTRIBUTION OF NEW INVASIVE PEST, RUGOSE SPIRALLING WHITEFLY ALEURODICUS RUGIOPERCULATUS MARTIN IN OIL PALM.
Type of the Article	Original research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The RSW is an economically important pests in oil palm and plantation crop ecosystem. The damage is severe with sap sucking and honey dew deposition impairing photosynthesis process. The chemical management is difficult as it has uneven and uncanny distribution in the host plant. All these parameters make it important for IPM scenarios to manage it below ETL, as it is one of the most devastating sucking pests in Indian plantation ecosystem and southern India basically. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New title: Host range and distribution of invasive, rugose spiraling whitefly <i>Aleurodicus rugioperculatus</i> martin in Telangana. 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract should be more result-oriented rather than descriptive and include only the key findings of the research. Here in the abstract, the initial statements regarding the pests may be included in the introduction section. Then a few points more can be added in the abstracts regarding the objectives. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It needs some correction at materials and discussion section, which has not been clearly stated and justified without proper evidence. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes sufficient, but need to include some more references in the discussion section with the current ones. 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The statistical analysis should be clearly mentioned in the materials and methods. (which software u are using and what kind of test u are going to analyse). While justifying the result obtained with discussion one should give clear and sufficient proof to justify their outcome with the prior works, with latest reference which is lacking. The discussion part is completely scattered, it should be re arranged with the result findings along with it. While mentioning a research result justification, clearly mention the drawbacks and contradiction with reason behind it, as no research in this world is perfect. <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satyabrata Sarangi
Department, University & Country	OUAT, India