

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128968
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Tall Spindle planting System of apple cultivars at low, mid, and high altitudes of Kulgam district of J&K (UT)
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a significant topic in horticultural practices, particularly the evaluation of the Tall Spindle planting system for apple cultivars across different altitudes. It provides valuable insights into the relationship between altitude, apple cultivar performance, and profitability. Such findings can guide growers and policymakers in optimizing apple cultivation practices in regions with diverse altitudes, contributing to improved productivity and sustainability in horticulture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is clear and appropriate for the content of the manuscript. However, for conciseness, consider revising it to: "Evaluation of the Tall Spindle Planting System for Apple Cultivars Across Altitudes in Kulgam District, J&K."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is detailed and includes the key findings of the study. However, it could be further improved by explicitly mentioning the statistical methods used for analysis and summarizing the key implications of the results for growers and policymakers.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, and the methodology is clearly described. The results are well-supported by data, and the discussion effectively integrates previous literature to contextualize the findings. However, certain sections could benefit from additional statistical details to strengthen the analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and relevant to the study. The inclusion of recent studies ensures the manuscript is grounded in current research. No additional references are deemed necessary at this time.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear and suitable for scholarly communication. However, minor grammatical corrections and rephrasing of some sentences are recommended to improve readability.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Consider including a schematic representation of the Tall Spindle planting system in the materials and methods section for clarity.</p> <p>Ensure that all figures are of high resolution and adequately labeled.</p> <p>The conclusion could briefly discuss the broader implications of adopting the Tall Spindle system beyond the studied region.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Luiz Antonio Soares Cardoso</u>
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	<u>Instituto Federal De Educação, Ciencia E Tecnologia Do Para, Brazil</u>