

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_126546 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) Yield Variability in Response to Planting Dates and Weather Conditions in Kerala's High Ranges (Wayanad) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This will be helpful to grow strawberry in off season by varying planting dates. 2. Crop improvement is possible for different climatic conditions. 3. Cultivation of strawberry year around is possible. 4. By adjusting the planting dates market requirement will be captured. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | <p>The title may be</p> <p>Yield Variability in Response to Planting Dates and Weather Conditions for Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.) at Kerala's High Ranges (Wayanad)</p> | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes. Abstract contain sufficient information. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript has all the area which required for any scientific paper. Paper is scientifically written and statistically analysed. The observations are also taken technically correct. So altogether this paper is scientifically and technically acceptable. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References are recent but need to rewrite in scientifically correct manner e.g. 23 number literature does not have year. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | Nil | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Shubham Shankar Bhosale |
| Department, University & Country | Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, India |