

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_127930
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of Hepatitis B Virus (HBV), Hepatitis C Virus (HCV), and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) 1 and 2 Co-infection Among Female Commercial Sex Workers and the Female General Populace in Osun State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study provides significant contribution to the scientific literature	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs some revision. I suggest to the author(s) to revise the title of their manuscript as follow: Seroprevalence and co-infections of Hepatitis B Virus (HBV), Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) 1 and 2 among female sex workers and non-sex workers and its associated risk factors in Osun State, Nigeria	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest the author(s) to use "female sex workers" and "female non-sex workers" instead of "female commercial sex workers" and "the general female population".	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>The manuscript is scientifically well written but the author(s) should revise the content in order to improve the quality of the content. Here are the suggestions:</p> <p>I suggest to the author(s) to use the terms "female sex workers", "sex workers" and "female non-sex workers" throughout the text instead of using the terms "female commercial sex workers", "commercial sex worker" and "general female population or populace", because those terms are redundant and otherizes.</p> <p>p.2, line 16, I suggest to the author(s) to use the terms" who are disproportionately affected by" instead of "who are disproportionately impacted"</p> <p>p.2, line 17, I suggest the author(s) to use the terms "to reduce the transmission" instead of "to reduce transmission"</p> <p>p.2, line 27, I suggest to the author(s) to use the words "contributing to the transmission" instead of "contributing to transmission"</p> <p>p.2, line, 30, I suggest to the author(s) to use the words "to provide valuable data" instead of "to contribute valuable data"</p> <p>p.2, line 31, I suggest to the author(s) to delete the word "working" between practitioners and to reduce</p> <p>p.3, In methods section, the author(s) did not provide details about how they carried out the analysis. Therefore, I recommend them to provide details about data analysis procedures such type of statistical test used to run the analysis and to test variables, the statistical soft used to run the analysis, etc.</p> <p>The author(s) stated that they measured level of knowledge regarding STIs and of awareness related to HBV, HCV and HIV but they did not provide sufficient information about the measurement instruments, if it was self-designed or adapted. I suggest to them to provide details about it if possible, such providing some examples of item used to measure these variables.</p> <p>In addition, the author(s) did not provide limitations of their study as well as research perspectives. I strongly recommend them to provide details about it.</p> <p>Results presented in table 3 need some further analysis or details such running the test and provide the level of significance of the test (p-value)</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the	Yes.	

Review Form 3

review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Yes, the author(s) stated the following sentence: "The study was conducted in compliance with ethical standards for research involving human subjects and received approval from relevant institutional review boards. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, who were informed about the study's purpose, procedures, and their right to withdraw at any time without consequence. To protect participant privacy, all data and biological samples were anonymized, and strict confidentiality protocols were maintained throughout the research process."	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wamba Andre
Department, University & Country	University of Yaounde I, Cameroon