

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_127398
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Examining the Nutritional Profile of Garcinia Kola Stem Bark and Stone Breaker Leaves Through Different Processing Methods: Implications for Cardiovascular Health</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is delightful and significant indeed in the scientific community but there needs to explain and clarify many things so that it will be significant. I like the manuscript due to the prospective and wide range applications in health sector. Garcinia kola is often used in herbal medicines for numerous sicknesses, including respiratory issues, digestive problems, and as an aphrodisiac. While stone breaker leaves refer to the leaves of the plant commonly known as stonebreaker. This plant is famous for its traditional medicinal uses, particularly in herbal medicine for treating kidney stones and urinary tract infections.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the paper is ok and suitable but, I suggest that the author should clearly specific about the title based on the aim of the research work.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	There is no proper background information about the introduction and application of the concept and data collection method in the abstract, there is need for the author to introduce a brief concept of the plant materials, The aim of the manuscript should be included and should be clear and concise.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are in order, but there is need for the author to check and re-write the subsections again especially the font and font size should be uniform. Also the subsections should be numbered.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically and technically considerable. The research content is technically sound which tally with the current situation of the scientific community. The issues discussed in the manuscript are health issues which are need to be update any moment.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient and recent. APA style of references should be adopted.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The manuscript requires revision with respect to the language/English quality used for proper and scholarly communications. I therefore suggest that, the author ask a native English speaker or equivalent to assist him with correcting the spelling, grammar, word use, and punctuation throughout your manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Basically, the technicality and content of this manuscript is well explained and is very enthusiastic, but there is needs for the author to improve the writing skills of the manuscript especially all the parts highlighted with yellow color.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Usman Muhammad Tukur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Metallurgy and Environment, Central South University, China</b>