

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_127398
Title of the Manuscript:	Examining the Nutritional Profile of Garcinia Kola Stem Bark and Stone Breaker Leaves Through Different Processing Methods: Implications for Cardiovascular Health
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its appropriate as it showed the best method of treatment to fully earned the benefits of the embedded phytochemicals in the plant sample.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Recast as "Phytochemical Profile of Differently Processed <i>Garcinia</i> Kola Stem Bark and Stone Breaker Leaves and their blends"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its okay with minor corrections.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Methodologies are appropriate. Parameters determined are relevant Result tables were well presented. Data were scientifically reported and interpreted and in line with the set objectives,	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are okay, recent & appropriate.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Recommended for acceptance for publication after all issues raised have been attended to.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kolawole D. Afolabi
Department, University & Country	University of Uyo, Nigeria