

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_127952
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioremediation of Degreaser Contaminated Soil Using Bacteria Grown in Bioreactor
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A subfield of biotechnology called bioremediation uses living things to extract toxins and contaminants from soil, water, and other environments. Microorganisms, plants, and mammals are examples of these organisms. Contaminated groundwater or environmental issues like oil spills can be cleaned up via bioremediation. Because they convert waste into nutrients and organic materials, bacteria are the most significant microorganisms in this process. Despite being a successful waste management method, bioremediation is not 100% effective in eliminating pollutants.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The researcher can modify the title as follows: Bioremediation of degreaser-contaminated soil with bioreactor-grown bacteria in Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Nigeria.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I am not sure if the journal's setting necessitates such a thorough abstract, but given its length, it may use some abbreviation.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is good	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The auther must include more recent sources.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Pretty good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aseel Nadhim Al-Salman
Department, University & Country	University of Basrah, Iraq