

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_129236
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Extraction of Bioactive Compounds from Guava Fruit and Leaves: A Comparative Study Using Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It will be better if the authors can repeat the same experiment with at least two other extraction methods such as maceration/Soxhlet/decoction for comparison. Thus, bringing a new insight into better ways of extraction in preservation of bioactive constituents. Otherwise, the research presented valuable insight into the levels bioactive composition of fruits and leaves of guava.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not until the authors repeat the same experiment with other extraction methods, otherwise the title of the research is better as " Comparative Study on the Yield of Bioactive Compounds from Guava Fruit and Leaves Using Green Extraction (Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction) "	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	All the evaluation done should be mentioned and a brief introduction to extraction techniques should be provided otherwise the title of the research should be "Comparative Study on the Yield of Bioactive Compounds from Guava Fruit and Leaves Using Green Extraction (Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction)"	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, if all the issues raised are address properly	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to be improved	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript must be re-evaluated after correction, notably, the following sections; 1. Method 2. Result and discussion These sections require serious improvement	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Owolabi Tunde Ayobami
Department, University & Country	Igbinedion University, Nigeria