

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_129236
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Extraction of Bioactive Compounds from Guava Fruit and Leaves: A Comparative Study Using Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Guava (<i>Psidium guajava L.</i>) is a versatile plant recognized for its nutritional and medicinal properties, with various parts of the plant, including its fruit and leaves. This study employed Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction (UAE), an eco-friendly and efficient method, to extract bioactive compounds from guava fruit and leaves which is an important technique.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. But certain mistakes have been highlighted in the manuscript which needs to be rectified Analytical procedure detail should be provided Results and discussion part needs to be rewritten	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes Strictly Follow guidelines of the journal	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Certain mistakes have been highlighted in the manuscript which needs to be rectified Analytical procedure detail should be provided Results and discussion part needs to be rewritten	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monika Sood
Department, University & Country	SKUAST, India