

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_128903
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) from mobile phone of University students.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to the scientific community. It is a cross section between health, personal hygiene, and technology. It is relevant for the determination of the prevalence of MRSA on phones among phone users. Although the other organisms isolated could have been stated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to reflect the location, i.e which city/town, and country.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Kindly check suggestions are in the comment section in the manuscript.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The materials and Methods section needs extensive correction, because vital aspects were omitted.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent. However, few corrections in the reference format are needed.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is majorly of suitable quality. Author should note and correct the few ones highlighted in the comments in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Materials and methods needs correction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akeem Opeyemi Akinbode
Department, University & Country	Federal Teaching Hospital, Nigeria