

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_128900
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the diversity of endophytic, rhizospheric and soil bacteria using a targeted metagenomic approach in Mali
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This Manuscript provides the detailed information about the microbial diversity of agricultural sites of Mali, the major phyla belongs to the site were like Bacillota, and Pseudomonodoata.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	There is some points which need to incorporate in to the main manuscript; 1. The QC reports were not included in the manuscript which are important to research data. 2. It must require the microbiological, physiochemical and cultural results as well. 3. Need to add the location sites exact location from where samples were collected. 4. Molecular extraction of Nucleic acid data and QC of the samples also require, which need to be add in to main manuscript. 5. Which Adapter sequence were used in PCR, also need add in manuscript. 6. Figures captions are insufficient with proper details. 7. The discussion part is not sufficient, compare the obtained data with recently published research data. If any.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The above points need to be rectified before the publication. Otherwise, manuscript is not suitable for publication in my concern.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nipun Bariya
Department, University & Country	Veer Narmad South Gujarat Univeristy, Dr. A P J Abdul Kalam Govt. College, India