

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_128834
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' Perceived Effectiveness of Agricultural Technology Transfer Hub (AgriTech) in the Dissemination of Improved Oil Palm Production Technologies in Western Regions of Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study employs mixed-methods research to examine how various factors such as accessibility, reliability, and timeliness affect technology transfer effectiveness. It highlights areas for improvement, such as physical infrastructure and communication materials, thus providing actionable insights for enhancing extension services. Policymakers, researchers, and practitioners can benefit from this research on optimizing agricultural technology dissemination.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Farmers' Perceived Effectiveness of Agricultural Technology Transfer Hub (AgriTech) in the Dissemination of Improved Oil Palm Production Technologies in Western Regions of Tanzania," is detailed and informative. However, it is somewhat lengthy and could be made more concise for better readability while retaining the key focus. Suggested Alternative Title: "Perceived Effectiveness of AgriTech in Disseminating Oil Palm Production Technologies in Western Tanzania"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Include the study's relevance: Briefly mention why this study is important for agricultural technology dissemination and its implications for policymakers or stakeholders. Expand on key findings: Specify which aspects of AgriTech were most effective (e.g., access, reliability) and which require improvement (e.g., physical infrastructure). Clarify the scope of data collection: Provide more details on the sample demographics or regions studied for a clearer context. Condense the methodology: Simplify the description of the research methods to make room for additional findings or implications.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Using a mixed-methods approach and the SERVQUAL model, the manuscript is scientifically accurate. However, it could be improved by ensuring sample representativeness, addressing generalizability, and providing more actionable recommendations. The statistical analyses align with the claims, but regional focus and causality limitations need to be clearly stated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are extensive, relevant, and include recent studies. However, there are inconsistencies in formatting that need to be addressed to align with the journal's guidelines. Some references have errors, such as missing details (e.g., author name). Careful review and uniform formatting of all references are necessary to ensure accuracy and compliance.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the manuscript is clear, concise, and well-suited for scholarly communication. It effectively conveys the study's objectives, methodology, and findings, making it accessible to the scientific community.	
Optional/General comments	-do-	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Chandegara Abhaykumar Dineshbhai</u>
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	<u>College of Fisheries, Central Agricultural University, India</u>