

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_129341
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Mycoplasma hominis and Ureaplasma Species among women in Dakar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript because of the following reasons; <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It helps in identifying resistant pathogens associated with sexually transmitted infections which can cause complications in women's reproductive health.2. This will also help to optimize the use of antibiotics.3. It also contributes to the public health knowledge by identifying potential public health risks associated with sexually transmitted infections.4. It is essential for effective patient management associated with managing resistant infection resulting improvement of maternal and neonatal health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	-YES	

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-YES	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This manuscript appears to be scientifically correct because of this following reasons 1. It addresses a critical public health issue focusing on those infections caused by Mycoplasma hominis and Ureaplasma Species among women. 2. It provides detailed antibiogram. 3. It helps in filling gaps in global and local epidemiological knowledge. 2. It provides the accurate clear results by using CLSI guidelines. 3. It uses a survey questionnaire for sample collection. 4. Information collected during the study was analysed using the participant's identification code to ensure confidentiality. 5. It follows ethical standards by taking approval from the ethics committee of hospital.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	-YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subash Chaudhary
Department, University & Country	NEPNI College of Allied Health Sciences, India