

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125533
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Organoleptic Evaluation of Aonla (<i>Emblica officinalis</i> G.) Squash during storage
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is very important as it increases the knowledge of the scientific community. The manuscript was able to show that though Aonla could be stored but it is better sold as soon as possible to maximize income. The manuscript also showed that if storage for Aonla is considered at all, adequate storage facilities should be put in place.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There are some minor errors during typing in the abstract. The English language presentation should be revisited.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structures of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and robust. It throws more light on the storage science of Aonla. It is also technically sound as it will help in planning the storage technique for Aonla	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is fairly adequate	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akinlolu Bankole Fawehinmi
Department, University & Country	Nigeria Natural Medicine Development Agency, Nigeria