

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_129891
Title of the Manuscript:	Research progress of basalt fiber cement-based composites
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please improve the references and increase the number of references.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please write about the mechanical and chemical properties of reinforced cement basalt fiber2. Please added references in page to about Fiber cement matrix composites3. Discussion and Conclusion must be added.4. Discussion has to discuss between different idea in different articles5. Conclusion has to be concluded the article.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The authors should attempt to improve the number of references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Hematibahar
Department, University & Country	Moscow State University of Civil Engineering, Iran