

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_130017
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic parameters in germplasm lines for yield and its contributing traits in grain amaranth (<i>Amaranthus hypochondriacus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The production of crop through the genotypes performance	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Genetic parameters in germplasm lines for yield and its contributing traits in grain amaranth (<i>Amaranthus hypochondriacus</i> L.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Methodology not explained well and there is no correlation presentation between genetic parameters with yield as well as physical traits.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	There more missing points	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some missing references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Havugimana Sylvestre
Department, University & Country	Rwanda Polytechnic- Karongi College, Rwanda