

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_129765
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation and Characterization of Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) germplasm lines as per DUS Guidelines
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>The research is good but needs some modifications</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title: I think there is no need for the word evaluation and we will suffice with describing the germplasm strains of peanuts (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.) according to DUS guidelines 2. Repetition in sentences between the abstract, introduction, research materials and methods please choose alternative words so that the research does not become boring for the reader. 3. Citing old research references and we are in the year 2025, add recent research and expand the scope of the introduction a little. 4. The discussion is very weak and ignores the genetic part, which is very important, whether in the discussion or the introduction 5. Not arranging the references alphabetically 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagia Mohamed Jadalla
Department, University & Country	University Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Libya