

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_129699
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Potential of Soil-less Farming through Hydroponics: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Hydroponics is an emerging technology. The authors have given quite a fare comparison of the existing operational hydroponic farms in Inda. Manuscript review has given a comprehensive review of pros and corns of this technology	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Few suggestions are made considering sentence reframing. Besides this author can also highlight the manuscript components in the abstract. Eg review covers importance of the technology, market potential, benefits and limitations.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it's a review of researched work	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are required in initial pages of introduction.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fairly written well	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There was a scope to add more reference information in context to the review manuscript,	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sangeeta G. Sankhalkar
Department, University & Country	Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science, India