

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127514
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Variability Studies in Early Segregating Generation of Cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp subsp unguiculata)
Type of the Article	Full length article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The choice of parents have to be critical in terms of their per se performance, which was seen in 36 F4 families populations. With the help of detail analysis of the components of genetic variation, it would be earlier to go for selections in population.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No suggestions	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes sufficient references were found	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	okay	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bineetkaur S. Singh
Department, University & Country	Navsari Agricultural University, India