

## Impact of various rootstocks and grafting techniques on pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) growth and survival

### ABSTRACT

The present study highlights the impact of various rootstocks and grafting techniques on pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) growth and survival. An experiment was conducted on evaluation of various rootstock of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* Linn.) was carry out with an analysis to evaluate the Graft compatibility between CV Phule Bhagwa Super and rootstocks of pomegranates (*Punica granatum* L.). The purpose of Phule Bhagwa Super wedge grafting was to evaluate the compatibility of graft in several rootstocks with the hybrid Phule Bhagwa Super. The rootstocks Bedana Suri and Alandi required the shortest amount of time (16.76 days) to sprout buds, according to the data. Bedana Suri had the highest percentage of bud sprouts (79.00%) at 30 days following grafting (DAG). In Bedana Suri, the highest percentage of graft survival (75.66%) at 90 DAG was documented. The Bedana Suri rootstock had the maximum rate of shoot growth. The rootstock Bedana Suri show the most internodes, shoots, and largest shoot length (89.00 cm). Additionally, there was a noticeable increase in shoot and internodal length with regard to time. The maximal girth at graft union (12.05 mm) was measured in bedana suri. The rootstocks with wedge grafting, Ganesh, Bedana Suri, and Kandhari, had the maximum rootstock and scion girth ratio (1.01). Longer shoots and roots, together with the maximum fresh shoot weight (65.40 g) and root weight (38.40 g), were generated with Bedana Suri rootstock. Kandhari has the greatest shoot/root weight ratio (0.77).

**KEYWORDS:** *Punica granatum* L., rootstocks, grafting method and budding method, graft and bud success

### INTRODUCTION

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) is mostly commercially cultivated in India. It is native to Iran (Persia). The pomegranate is belong to the family Lythraceae. Pomegranate contains high levels of vitamin C. Pomegranate is important fruit crop of arid and semi-arid region. In India it is

cultivated in Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujarat, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab and Haryana. Due to its significant economic, nutritional, and medicinal benefits, the pomegranate is the major fruit crops commercially grown on India's Deccan Plateau, has become more well-known globally in recent years (Marathe et al. 2010). In Maharashtra, Karnataka, and Andhra Pradesh, air layering is the primary method of propagation. Pomegranates, in contrast to other perennial fruit crops, frequently use multi-stem training systems (Chandra et al. 2008; Dubey et al., 2021; S. Al-Rawahi et al., 2013; Choudhary et al., 2023). Wilt has become a significant hazard in India's main pomegranate growing regions recently, and there is currently no acceptable rootstock or conventional grafting/budding strategy to address this issue. According to Reisch et al. (2012), easy of propagation, high graft compatibility with scion types, and soil condition adaptation are important considerations for rootstock selection. Through seedling propagation, tap and secondary root development is possible (Dubey et al., 2022; Das et al., 2024). On the other hand, there is little data on the graft compatibility of various rootstocks with scion kinds in pomegranates through diverse propagation techniques. In order to investigate the most appropriate means of propagation through the use of various rootstocks, the current study on "Evolution of various rootstocks of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.)" was carried out (Sunil et al., 2023; Raj et al., 2024).

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experiment was conducted between 2023 and 2024 in a 50% green shade net house at Department of Agriculture of Shridhar University, Pilani, Rajasthan. In this experiment randomized block design (Factorial) was used. In this experiment two methods of propagation Wedge grafting method ( $M_1$ ) and Patch budding method ( $M_2$ ) and 11 rootstocks Ganesh ( $R_1$ ), Bedana Suri ( $R_2$ ), Alandi ( $R_3$ ), Kandhari ( $R_4$ ), Jalore Seedless ( $R_5$ ), Jodhpur Red ( $R_6$ ), Patna-5 ( $R_7$ ), Muscat ( $R_8$ ), Yercaud ( $R_9$ ), Bedana Sedana ( $R_{10}$ ) and Daru ( $R_{11}$ ). Using around 15 cm long, leafless scions of Phule bhagwa super that were 6–9 months old, a total of twenty grafts were used in each of the three replications, which involved 22 treatments. Black polythene (30 x 18") bags containing a 1:1:1:1 mixture of soil, sand, vermicompost, and FYM were used to grow the rootstock. Using a sharp budding knife, a long, smooth vertical cut measuring 4 to 5 cm downward was made. Without causing any harm to the cambium layer, a wedge-shaped scion was put into a vertical slit in the rootstock and secured with a polythene strip. About 3 to 4 cm of similar-sized and

shaped bark was cut from the top in order to facilitate budding on the chosen rootstock. The patch was securely fastened into the notch, revealing the bud when a polythene strip was wound around it. Both techniques employed a 3 cm by 15 cm polythene covering with a gauge thickness of 100 to cover the graft scions. The time it took for grafts to sprout from the date of grafting or budding was recorded for each plant, treatment by treatment, and average values were reported. Grafts that demonstrated the development of the scion stick were considered successful. After 30 days of grafting or budding, the percentage of grafted scion that had sprouted was calculated. This was deemed the initial success of the process. The prepared grafts were observed for 60 and 90 days after the grafting/budding process in order to determine the ultimate survival percentage. Following the grafting process, after 180 days, sprouting branches was count up treatment-wise in each replication, and the average number of shoots per plant was noted. The average number of internodes for each treatment and replication 180 days after grafting, shoot length, and internode length per shoot were tallied separately. The information was statistically examined utilizing recognized methods according to Panse and Sukhatme's (1985) guidelines.

## **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

### **Days required for sprouting**

Table 1 displays the mean number of days needed for graft sprouting. It is evident that propagation techniques (M) and rootstock types (R) have a considerable impact on this data. After considering the two-way interaction shown in Table 2, the minimal number of days needed for graft sprouting was found in M1R2 and M1R3 (14.52), then in M1R1 and M1R5 (14.70). Second extra days could have been needed to ensure compatibility and provide the scion with food material for sprouting. The shortest amount of time required for sprouting is correlated with the scion's greater availability of feeding material—that is, the wedge rather than the patch. The shorter time it took for the wedge graft to spout may have been caused by improved cambial layer contact between the scion and stock, which led to early callus development and the start of early subsequent growth. These findings concur with those of Singh and Chaudhari (1984) for grapes and Visen et al. (2010) for guava.

### **Sprouting and survival percentage**

The results in (Table 1) shows that different rootstocks (R) and propagation methods (M) had a substantial impact on the sprouting percentage up to 30 days after grafting. Thirty days after grafting/budding, the interaction effects of different rootstocks and propagation strategies on sprouting showed statistically negligible differences in the grafts' success and survival. Nonetheless, M1R2 showed the highest rate of sprouting (79.00%), followed by M1R1 and M1R5 (75.66%). Days 60 and 90 after grafting and budding, individually were when wedge grafting on Bedana Suri showed the best survival rates (79.00%) and (75.66%). This may be because the longer scion has good callusing ability and the ideal nutritional and hormonal condition. Better graft union healing may be linked to high success of wedge graft rates on 60 and 90 DAG and DAB. Because the wedge graft fully balances the stock and scion, it delivers very high graft success and more stability than conventional grafting procedures in fruit crops (Tabora and Atienza 2006, Selvi et al. 2008, Somkuwar et al. 2009). This outcome is consistent with the findings of Chandra and Jadhav (2012), who said that the highest survival rate of 90.00% was achieved using wedge grafting in pomegranates during the month of January.

#### **Average number of branches that germinate 180 days following budding or grafting**

Table 3 shows that the average number of sprouted shoots 180 days after grafting was statistically important for both the propagation method and different types of rootstocks individually, and non-significant differences were observed for the interaction between the propagation method and different rootstocks. Nevertheless, M1R3 had the highest number of shoots (3.59%) numerically (Table 4).

#### **Length of sprouting shoots on average (cm) 180 days following budding or grafting**

Table 3 shows that the average length of sprouted shoots 180 days after grafting was significantly affected by both the propagation method and different rootstocks individually, and that the interaction between the two factors resulted in statistically non-significant alterations. Nevertheless, M1R2 recorded the highest length of shoots, measuring 89.00 cm. (Table 4). Superior stock and scion union as well as healthier graft union may be the cause of this. The present study's results were at odds with those of Karibasappa (1999), who found that grape shoot length was maximum in chip/patch budding grafts done on September 15.

#### **Internodes on average per plant 180 days following grafting or budding**

Table 3 shows the average number of internodes per plant as a function of the propagation method, various rootstocks, and their interactions. Table 4 shows that the largest number of internodes (22.62) per plant was reported in M1R2 180 days after grafting, a statistically significant result. With contrast to patch budding, the highest number of internodes each plant was seen with wedge grafting method. This might be because wedge grafting produces longer shoots than patch budding method.

#### **180 days after grafting or budding, girth at bud union or graft (mm)**

The propagation strategy and several rootstocks' interaction effects were found to be statistically non-significant. M1R2 had the greatest girths at graft or bud union (13.04 mm) (Table 4).

#### **Average weight of new roots 180 days after grafting or budding in grams**

Table 5 shows that, on average fresh root weight. While the interactions between the propagation technique and various rootstocks produced statistically non-significant differences, the individual impacts of the propagation method and various rootstocks revealed statistically significant variations. On the other hand, M1R2 (Table 6) recorded the greatest fresh root weight (38.40 g) numerically. Wedge grafting yielded higher fresh root weight records for grafts than patch budding. In Bedana Suri, the highest fresh root weight was measured.

#### **Weight (grams) of average fresh shoot 180 days after grafting or budding**

Table 5 shows that the average fresh shoot weight. On the other hand, M1R2 recorded the greatest fresh shoot weight of 65.40 g in numerical terms (Table 6). Wedge grafting yielded higher fresh shoot weight records for grafts than patch budding. In wedge grafting, the increased fresh shoot weight may be related to earlier bud take, which may improve the link between the scion and stock and, as a result, improve water and nutrient uptake. These findings are comparable to those on other fruit crops published by Kayane et al. (1981) and Hamdi et al. (2007).

#### **Ratio of shoot to root weight 180 days following grafting or budding**

Table 5 shows that the propagation method and various rootstocks had statistically significant individual effects on the shoot/root weight ratio 180 days after grafting, while the interaction effects of the propagation method and various rootstocks showed statistically non-significant

differences. Nevertheless, M1R4 had the maximum shoot/root weight ratio (0.76) recorded numerically (Table 6).

Based on the previously described experiment, it can be inferred that pomegranate has greater graft compatibility. CV Phule Bhagwa Super was discovered using patch budding or wedge grafting techniques on the rootstocks Bedana Suri, Ganesh, Kandhari, Jallore Seedless, and Alandi in order to improve the stionic development and success rate of the grafts.

## CONCLUSION

It was discovered that the rootstocks Daru, Jodhpur Red, Jallore Seedless, and Bedana Suri have a moderate resistance to wilt disease and the root-knot nematode. In order to achieve a greater success rate and better stionic development of pomegranate grafts, Phule Bhagwa Super was discovered to have superior graft compatibility with the rootstocks Bedana Suri, Ganesh, Kandhari, Jallore Seedless, and Alandi by wedge grafting or patch budding methods. Therefore, long-term pomegranate wilt control should benefit from the use of wedge grafting/patch budding and moderately resistant rootstocks.

**Table 1. Influence of root stocks and grafting techniques on graft survival and sprouting**

Treatments	The number of days needed for sprouting	Sprouting % up to 30DAG/DAB	Percentage of survival graft	
			60 <sup>th</sup> day	90 <sup>th</sup> day
M1 : Wedge grafting	16.31	72.02	69.29	68.08
M2 : Patch budding	20.64	67.78	65.96	65.96
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.55</b>	<b>0.69</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>1.10</b>	<b>1.63</b>	<b>1.03</b>
R1 : Ganesh	17.01	74.00	74.00	74.00
R2 : Bedana Suri	16.76	79.00	77.33	75.66
R3 : Alandi	16.76	70.66	69.00	69.00
R4 : Kandhari	17.16	70.66	69.00	69.00

R5 : Jalore Seedless	16.87	74.00	62.33	62.33
R6 : Jodhpur Red	17.24	70.66	67.33	67.33
R7 : Patna	20.62	65.66	62.33	62.33
R8 : Muscat	20.29	70.66	67.33	67.33
R9 : Yercaud	20.32	65.66	62.33	60.66
R10 : Bedana Sedana	20.27	65.66	62.33	60.66
R11 : Daru	20.00	62.32	60.66	59.00
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>0.54</b>	<b>0.67</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>0.69</b>	<b>2.01</b>	<b>1.56</b>	<b>1.94</b>

DAG-Days after grafting      DAB-Days after budding

**Table 2. Influence of root stocks and grafting techniques on graft survival and sprouting**

Treatments	The number of days needed for sprouting	Sprouting % up to 30DAG/DAB	Percentage of survival graft	
			60 <sup>th</sup> day	90 <sup>th</sup> day
M1R1	14.69	75.66	75.66	75.66
M1R2	14.52	79.00	79.00	75.66
M1R3	14.52	72.32	69.00	69.00
M1R4	14.79	72.32	69.00	69.00
M1R5	14.69	75.66	72.32	72.32
M1R6	14.89	72.32	69.00	69.00
M1R7	18.59	69.00	65.66	65.66
M1R8	18.29	72.32	69.00	69.00
M1R9	18.29	69.00	65.66	62.32
M1R10	18.19	69.00	65.66	62.32

M1R11	19.00	65.66	62.32	59.00
M2R1	19.32	72.32	72.32	72.32
M2R2	19.00	79.00	75.66	75.66
M2R3	19.00	69.00	69.00	69.00
M2R4	19.52	69.00	69.00	69.00
M2R5	19.06	72.32	72.32	72.32
M2R6	19.59	69.00	65.66	65.66
M2R7	22.64	62.32	59.00	59.00
M2R8	22.29	69.00	65.66	65.66
M2R9	22.34	62.32	59.00	59.00
M2R10	22.34	62.32	59.00	59.00
M2R11	22.00	59.00	59.00	59.00
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>2.44</b>	<b>1.89</b>	<b>2.36</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>

**Table 3. Impact of root stocks and grafting techniques on the average growth characteristics of grafts**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Average number of sprouted shoots 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Average length of sprouted shoots (cm) 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Average number of internodes per plant 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Girth at graft/bud union 180 DAG/DAB</b>
M1 : Wedge grafting	2.95	77.65	2.97	11.07
M2 : Patch budding	0.98	65.17	2.59	10.93
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.9</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>3.37</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>NS</b>
R1 : Ganesh	2.46	80.00	2.77	11.18

R2 : Bedana Suri	2.29	84.24	3.33	11.95
R3 : Alandi	2.42	76.62	2.92	11.16
R4 : Kandhari	1.89	78.79	3.03	11.27
R5 : Jalore Seedless	1.79	70.74	2.98	11.33
R6 : Jodhpur Red	1.89	67.69	2.69	11.02
R7 : Patna	1.76	63.57	2.59	10.75
R8 : Muscat	1.92	66.69	2.66	10.54
R9 : Yercaud	1.96	66.57	2.52	10.55
R10 : Bedana Sedana	1.89	66.00	2.58	10.71
R11 : Daru	1.49	64.49	2.48	10.56
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>2.77</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.08</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>7.93</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.26</b>

**Table 4. Influence of root stocks and grafting techniques on graft growth characteristics**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Average number of sprouted shoots 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Average length of sprouted shoots (cm) 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Average number of internodes per plant 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Girth at graft/bud union 180 DAG/DAB</b>
M1R1	3.52	87.49	2.94	11.23
M1R2	3.06	89.00	3.69	12.04
M1R3	3.59	81.64	3.11	11.24
M1R4	2.72	83.59	3.14	11.35
M1R5	2.59	79.00	3.16	11.38
M1R6	2.72	74.00	2.94	11.03
M1R7	2.72	69.49	2.67	10.87

M1R8	3.06	73.49	2.81	10.62
M1R9	3.19	73.49	2.82	10.57
M1R10	2.92	73.00	2.74	10.77
M1R11	2.39	71.00	2.64	10.63
M2R1	1.39	72.49	2.61	11.13
M2R2	1.52	79.49	2.97	11.85
M2R3	1.00	71.59	2.74	11.08
M2R4	1.06	74.00	2.92	11.18
M2R5	1.00	63.49	2.81	11.27
M2R6	1.06	61.64	2.44	11.01
M2R7	0.79	57.64	2.51	10.62
M2R8	0.79	59.89	2.51	10.46
M2R9	0.72	59.64	2.32	10.52
M2R10	0.86	59.00	2.42	10.64
M2R11	0.59	58.00	2.32	10.49
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>3.92</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>0.32</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>

**Table 5. Effects of grafting techniques and root stocks on shoot weight (g), shoot/root weight ratio, and fresh root**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Average fresh root weight (g) 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Average fresh shoot weight (g) 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Shoot/root fresh weight ratio 180 DAG/DAB</b>
M <sub>1</sub> : Wedge grafting	57.79	34.78	0.63
M <sub>2</sub> : Patch budding	39.30	30.89	0.25
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.62</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.01</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>1.79</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.03</b>
R <sub>1</sub> : Ganesh	53.02	34.05	0.51

R <sub>2</sub> : Bedana Suri	55.9	35.49	0.51
R <sub>3</sub> : Alandi	52.32	33.87	0.51
R <sub>4</sub> : Kandhari	53.02	33.37	0.56
R <sub>5</sub> : Jalore Seedless	51.36	33.67	0.49
R <sub>6</sub> : Jodhpur Red	53.37	33.72	0.54
R <sub>7</sub> : Patna	41.24	30.24	0.33
R <sub>8</sub> : Muscat	43.96	32.19	0.33
R <sub>9</sub> : Yercaud	45.59	31.38	0.42
R <sub>10</sub> : Bedana Sedana	43.51	32.12	0.33
R <sub>11</sub> : Daru	41.49	31.9	0.30
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.01</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>1.71</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>0.03</b>

**Table 6. The impact of grafting techniques and root stocks on the weight of new roots and shoots**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Average fresh root weight (g) 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Average fresh shoot weight (g) 180 DAG/DAB</b>	<b>Shoot/root fresh weight ratio 180 DAG/DAB</b>
M1R1	62.86	36.66	0.69
M1R2	65.39	38.39	0.68
M1R3	60.00	35.76	0.65
M1R4	61.52	34.26	0.76
M1R5	59.52	35.59	0.64
M1R6	62.59	36.12	0.70
M1R7	51.00	32.69	0.53
M1R8	54.32	34.39	0.55
M1R9	54.00	33.44	0.59

M1R10	53.49	32.66	0.61
M1R11	51.00	32.66	0.53
M2R1	43.19	31.44	0.35
M2R2	44.79	32.59	0.35
M2R3	44.66	32.00	0.37
M2R4	44.52	32.49	0.35
M2R5	43.19	31.74	0.34
M2R6	44.14	31.32	0.39
M2R7	31.49	27.79	0.12
M2R8	33.59	30.00	0.11
M2R9	37.19	29.32	0.25
M2R10	33.52	31.59	0.05
M2R11	32.00	29.52	0.07
<b>SEm (±)</b>	<b>2.08</b>	<b>1.16</b>	<b>0.04</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>

**Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)**

**Option 1:**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

**Option 2:**

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## REFERENCES

- Ahirea, D. B., Ranpise, S. A., & Kulkarni, S. S. (2017). Graft compatibility of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) rootstocks with cv. Phule Bhagwa Super.
- Chandra, R. and Jadhav, V. T. 2012. Grafting methods and time in pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) under semi-arid agro-climatic condition of Maharashtra. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, 82(11): 990-992.
- Chandra, R., Marathe R. A, Jadhav V. T, Sharma K. K and Dinesh Babu K, 2008. Appraisal of constraints of pomegranate cultivation in Karnataka (*Punica granatum* L.) (abstract). Proceedings of the 3rd Indian Horticulture Congress: New R & D Initiatives in Horticulture for Accelerated Growth and Prosperity, 252 pp. Orissa, India.
- Das A, Kadawla K, Nath H, Chakraborty S, Ali H, Singh S, Dubey VK. Drone-Based Intelligent Spraying of Pesticides: Current Challenges and Its Future Prospects. In Applications of Computer Vision and Drone Technology in Agriculture 4.0. Springer Nature Singapore; 2024;199-223.
- Dubey VK, Kalleshwaraswamy CM, Joshi S, Shivanna, BK. 2022. Diversity and Diagnostics of Sternorrhynchan Insect Pests Infesting Arecanut. *Indian J Entomol.* 2022;84(3):509-515.
- Dubey VK, Kalleshwaraswamy CM, Shivanna BK. Seasonal incidence of major sternorrhynchan insect pests infesting arecanut in South India. *Indian J Agric. Res.* 2021;47(5):436-440.
- Gehlot, T., Mishra, S. R., Yadav, A. K., & Chaudhary, P. (2024). Studies on Crop Weather Calendar of Brinjal Crop in Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 46(6), 816-823.

- Hamdi, Z., Ozcan, M., Haznedar, A and Demir, T. 2007. Comparisons of methods and time of budding in Kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa* A.). *International J. Nat. Eng. Sci.*, 1:23-28.
- Karibassappa, G.S. 1999. Graft success and subsequent growth of different cultivars on Dogridge rootstock. *Annual Report, NRC, Grape, 1998-99*, pp.5-6.
- Kayane, C.W., Scarborough, I. P and Nyirendra, N. E. 1981. Rootstock influence on yield and quality of tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.). *J. Hort. Sci.*, 56:117-120.
- Marathe, R. A, Chandra, R and Jadhav V. T, 2010. Influence of different potting media on soil properties, plant nutrient content and nutrient uptake by pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) seedlings. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, 80(6):554-557.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P. V., 1985. *Statistical method for agriculture workers*. I.C.A.R., New Delhi 2nd Ed., pp. 359.
- Raj M, Lal K, Satdev, Kumari P, Kumari S, Dubey VK, Kumar S. Potential nutrient cycling and management in agroforestry. In *Agroforestry to Combat Global Challenges: Current Prospects and Future Challenges*. Springer Nature Singapore; 2024;71-92.
- Ranpise, S. A., & Ahire, D. B. (2016). Effect of different grafting methods and rootstocks on growth and survival of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.).
- Reisch, B. I., Owens, C.L. and Cousins, P. S. 2012. Grape, eds. *Fruit Breeding Handbook of Plant Breeding*. Pp.225-262.
- Selvi, R., Kumar, N., Selvarajan, M. and Anbu, S., 2008. Effect of environment on grafting success in jackfruit. *Indian J. Hort.*, 65 (3): 341-343.
- Singh, M. and Chaudhary, A. S. 1984. A note on propagation of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) by bench grafting. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 13 (3-4): 127-128.
- Somkuwar, R.G., Satisha, J. and Ramteke, S. D. 2009. Graft performance of Thompson seedless grape through wedge grafting on different rootstocks. *Indian J. Hort.*, 66(3): 383-384.
- Sunil V, Majeed W, Chowdhury S, Riaz A, Shakoori FR, Tahir M, Dubey VK. Insect Population Dynamics and Climate Change. In *Climate Change and Insect Biodiversity*. CRC Press; 2023;121-146.

Tabora P. C and Atienza L. 2006. Highly successful wedge grafting for rambutan, lychee, longan, mangosteen and other fruit trees. Proceeding for the Florida State Horticultural Society.

Visen, A., Singh, J.N. and Singh, S. P. 2010. Standardization of wedge grafting in guava under north Indian plains. *Indian J. Hort.*, 67(4): 111-114.

S. Al-Rawahi, Amani, Giles Edwards, Mohammed Al-Sibani, Ghanim Al-Thani, Ahmed S. Al-Harrasi, and Mohammed Shafiur Rahman. 2013. "Phenolic Constituents of Pomegranate Peels (*Punica Granatum L.*) Cultivated in Oman". *European Journal of Medicinal Plants* 4 (3):315-31. <https://doi.org/10.9734/EJMP/2014/6417>.

Choudhary, Ramesh Chand, H. L. Bairwa, L. N. Mahawer, Vinit Kumar Meena, and Jitendra Kumar Tak. 2023. "Effect of Organic Manures on Yield and Quality Characteristics of Pomegranate (*Punica Granatum L.*) Cv. Bhagwa". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 35 (3):120-28. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2023/v35i32782>.