

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127437
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of various rootstocks and grafting techniques on pomegranate (<i>Punica granatum</i> L.) growth and survival
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The objective of this study is to investigate the scion kinds in pomegranates through diverse propagation techniques. In order to investigate the most appropriate means of propagation through the use of various rootstocks, the current study on "Evolution of various rootstocks of pomegranate	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes,	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that the study achieved the goal as the experiment was conducted on evaluation of various rootstock of Pomegranate and showed that the rootstocks Daru, Jodhpur Red, Jalore Seedless, and Bedana Suri have a moderate resistance to wilt disease and the root-knot nematode. In order to achieve a greater success rate and better stionic development of pomegranate grafts, Phule Bhagwa Super was discovered to have superior graft compatibility with the rootstocks Bedana Suri, Ganesh, Kandhari, Jalore Seedless, and Alandi by wedge grafting or patch budding methods. Therefore, long-term pomegranate wilt control should benefit from the use of wedge grafting/patch budding and moderately resistant rootstocks.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1. I think that the discussion needs more explanations of the result obtained.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhia Ahmed Taain
Department, University & Country	University of Basrah, Iraq